- 1. Judicial Review of Pension Policies: The court emphasizes that pension and commutation of pension are policy matters typically decided based on recommendations from Pay Commissions. Judicial review is limited to cases where policies are unconstitutional, beyond delegated powers, or irrational and arbitrary.
- 2. Complexity of Pension Calculations: The court acknowledges the complexity and difficulty in calculating pensions and commuted pensions, noting that various formulas can be applied, and courts generally avoid interfering unless there is clear arbitrariness or discrimination.
- 3. Impact of Life Expectancy on Pensions: The increase in life expectancy and its effect on commuted pensions must be considered alongside other factors like salary increases and saving capacities during service periods. The court highlights the need for a holistic view rather than isolated calculations.
- 4. Resource Allocation: Any increase in pensions requires careful consideration of government resources and funds, as it may necessitate diverting money from other schemes or reducing funds available for the poor and marginalized.
- 5. Role of Courts in Policy Decisions: Courts are cautious about stepping into policy decisions related to pension calculations unless there is evident arbitrariness or discrimination. They avoid substituting their judgment for that of the authorities responsible for policy formulation.

D.D. MISTRY GS BDPA (INDIA) = AI